

Synthetic Ionophores. Part 20: Synthesis and Ionophore Character of 2-Aminothiophenol and 1,3-/1,4-Phenylene Based Silver Selective Receptors *

SUBODH KUMAR[†], SUKHDEEP KAUR and HARJIT SINGH[†]

Department of Chemistry, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar - 143 005, India E-mail: Subodh.Kumar@angelfire.com

(Received: 20 April 2000; in final form: 25 August 2000)

Key words: ionophores, extraction, transport, silver selective, 2-aminothiophenol.

Abstract

Nucleophilic displacements of 1,3- and 1,4-bis(bromomethyl)benzenes with 2-aminothiophenol provide 1,3- and 1,4-bis(2aminophenylthiomethyl)benzenes **3** and **11** which undergo intermolecular cyclodehydrochlorination with thiodiglycolyl dichloride and isophthaloyl dichloride to give respectively **6**, **8** and **12**, **13**. The diamine **3** and its N, N'-dibenzyl derivative **4** with pyridine-2,6-dicarbonyl dichloride give **7** and **5**, respectively. The extraction and transport behaviour of these receptors have been determined towards alkali (Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺), alkaline earth (Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Sr²⁺, Ba²⁺), Tl⁺, Ag⁺ and Pb²⁺ picrates. The participation of various ligating sites in binding have been evaluated through ¹³C NMR studies. The acyclic receptors **3**, **4** and **11** show higher Ag⁺ selectivity than the cyclic analogs **6** and **12**. In the case of acyclic receptor **3** the organisation induced by the 1,3-phenylene spacer and 2-aminophenylthio units and its flexibility generates optimal binding and selectivity towards Ag⁺. However, in cyclic receptors **3** and **12** though the three thioether units are better organised, the inward placement of the NH_{amide} units restricts the entry of Ag⁺ into the cavity and lowers both the order of binding and selectivity. The lack of binding ability in **7** due to an intramolecular NH_{amide}—N_{py} H-bond is restored in the *N*-benzyl derivative **5**.

Introduction

The development of fast estimation, removal and separation techniques for Ag⁺, the use of Ag⁺ complexes in photographic materials and their potential use in cancer radioimmunotherapy have drawn the attention of supramolecular chemists towards Ag⁺ selective receptors [2]. For their design, structural parameters such as the presence of appropriately placed 2-4 soft ligating sites, the minimal incorporation of hard ligating sites (ether, ester etc.) [3–8], the induction of conformational and stereochemical restrictions to avoid 2:1 complexation [9-11] towards Pb²⁺ and cavity engineered complexation [12-13], have been delineated. In our recently reported silver selective receptors [1, 4] (Figure 1, Model I and II) we observed that in receptors I, segment A induces selectivity of Ag⁺ binding but segment B adversely affects the same due to its flexibility. In receptors II, the 2-aminophenylthio unit keeps the thioether lone pair towards the interior of the cavity for favoured Ag⁺ binding but the preferred binding of the ether units with alkali and alkaline earth cations disfavour binding of Ag⁺ selectively. So, we envisaged that if part B (-SCH2CH2O-) of model I is replaced by part B (2-aminophenylthio unit) of model II, the resulting receptors III would show higher complexation and selectivities towards Ag⁺.

In the present investigations, based on model III, the receptors **5–9**, **12** and **13** possessing two thioether or three thioether or two thioether and amine unit as soft ligating sites and acyclic receptors **3**, **4** and **11** have been synthesized and their binding behaviours towards Ag^+ , Pb^{2+} , Tl^+ , alkali and alkaline earth cations (extraction and transport) and mode of participation of the various ligating sites in binding (¹³C NMR) have been studied.

Experimental

Synthesis of receptors **3** and **11**: A general procedure

A solution of 1,3-bis(bromomethylene)benzene (1) (2.00 g, 7.6 mmol) and 2-aminothiophenol (2) (2.3 g, 2.4 mmol) in DMF (dry, 50 mL) containing K_2CO_3 (anhyd) (5 g, 36.23 mmol) and tetrabutyl ammonium hydrogensulphate (TBA-HSO₄) (10mg) was stirred. After completion of the reaction (TLC, 10 h), the solid suspension was filtered off and washed with ethyl acetate (100 mL). The combined filtrate was distilled under vacuum. The residue was chromatographed over silica gel column using hexane and its mixtures with ethyl acetate as eluents to isolate diamine 3. A similar reaction for 1,4-bis(bromomethylene)benzene (10) with 2 gave 11.

^{*} For Part 19, see Reference [1].

[†] Authors for correspondence.



Figure 1. The structures of models I, II and III.

Receptor **3**: (82%), liquid, M⁺ m/z 352 (M⁺,100), 228 (M⁺-SC₆H₄NH₂, 89.8); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.79 (s, 4H, 2 × CH₂), 6.52–6.69 (m, 4H, ArH), 6.90–7.19 (m, 8H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (normal/DEPT-135): δ 39.39 (–ve, CH₂), 114.78 (+ve, CH), 117.24 (ab, ArC), 118.37 (+ve, CH), 127.45 (+ve, ArCH), 128.23 (+ve, ArCH), 129.31 (+ve, ArCH), 129.97(+ve, ArCH), 136.47 (+ve, ArCH), 138.29 (ab, ArC), 148.48 (ab, ArC); IR ν_{max} (CHCl₃) (cm⁻¹): 3980, 3482 (doublet, NH₂).

Receptor **11**: (60%), m.p. 104–106 °C (CHCl₃); M⁺ *m/z* 352 (M⁺, 11); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.63 (bs, 4H, 2 × NH₂), 3.82 (s, 4H, 2 × CH₂), 6.55–6.67 (m, 4H, ArH), 6.97 (s, 4H, ArH), 7.04–7.18 (m, 4H, ArH); ¹³C NMRC (normal/DEPT-135) (CDCl₃): δ 39.26 (–ve, CH₂), 114.81 (+ve, ArCH), 117.19 (ab, ArC), 118.39 (+ve, ArCH), 128.78 (+ve, ArCH), 129.98 (+ve, ArCH), 136.48 (+ve, ArCH), 137.06 (ab, ArC), 148.58 (ab, ArC); IR ν_{max} (cm⁻¹): 3250 (NH). (*Found*: C 67.9; H 5.7; N 7.9; S 17.95. C₂₀H₂₀N₂S₂ requires C 68.18; H 5.68; N 7.95 and S 18.18%)

Synthesis of receptor 4

Diamine 3 (2.00 g, 5.68 mmol), benzaldehyde (1.33 g, 12.5 mmol) and a catalytic amount of *p*-toluenesulphonic acid were dissolved in dry benzene (150 mL) and the mixture was refluxed on a water bath. After completion of the reaction (7 h), benzene (100 mL) was removed by distillation. Ethanol (75 mL) was added to the cooled reaction mixture. NaBH4 was added and stirring was continued for 6 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with water (500 mL) and extracted with chloroform. Solvent was removed and the residue was chromatographed over a silica gel column using hexane and its mixtures with ethyl acetate as eluents to isolate 4, liquid, (65%), $M^+ m/z$ 532 (M^+ , 6), 441 (M⁺-CH₂Ar, 19), 319 (M⁺-SC₆H₄NHCH₂C₆H₅, 20), 105 (CH₂C₆H₄CH⁺₂, 100); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.74 (s, 4H, 2 × SCH₂), 4.25 (s, 4H, 2 × N CH₂), 6.47–6.57 (m, 4H, ArH), 6.81-6.93 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.02-7.34 (m, 15H, ArH); ¹³C NMR normal/DEPT-135) (CDCl₃): δ 39.77 (-ve, CH₂), 47.91 (-ve, CH₂), 110.41 (+ve, ArCH), 116.95 (+ve, ArCH), 117.11 (ab, ArC), 127.17 (+ve, ArCH), 127.51 (+ve, ArCH), 128.32 (ab, ArC), 128.62 (+ve, ArCH), 129.33 (+ve, ArCH), 130.39 (+ve, ArCH), 136.62 (+ve, ArCH), 138.35 (ab, ArC), 139.16 (ab, ArC), 149.21 (ab, ArC); IR v_{max} (KBr) (cm⁻¹): 1655 (C=O), 3490 (NH).

Synthesis of receptors 5–8, 12 and 13: A General procedure

Diamine **3** (2.00 g, 5.68 mmol), K_2CO_3 (anhyd.) and a catalytic amount of TBA-HSO₄ (10 mg) were taken in dry dichloromethane (400 mL) and the reaction was stirred at room temperature. Thiodiglycolyl dichloride (2.33 g, 12.5 mmol) dissolved in dichloromethane (75 mL) was added dropwise, over 3-4 hrs. After completion of the reaction (TLC, 10 h), the suspended solid was filtered off and washed with chloroform (2 × 10 mL). The combined filtrate was distilled off. The crude reaction product was crystallized from CHCl₃—CH₃OH mixture to isolate **6**.

Similarly, cyclocondensation of diamine **3** with pyridine-2,6-dicarbonyl dichloride and isophthaloyl dichloride gave receptors **7** and **8**, and diamine **11** with thiodiglycolyl dichloride and isophthaloyl dichloride gave receptors **12** and **13**, respectively. The cyclocondensation of receptor **4** with pyridine-2,6-dicarbonyl dichloride gave receptor **5**.

Receptor 5: (55%), m.p. 101 °C; FAB mass M⁺ m/z 664 (M⁺ + 1); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 3.66–4.45 (m, 4H, SCH₂), 5.44–5.65 (m, 2H, CH₂), 5.44–5.65 (m, 2H, CH₂), 6.31–6.59(m, 4H, ArCH), 6.82–7.44 (m, 8H, ArH), 7.56–8.38 (m, 3H, PyH). IR ν_{max} (KBr) (cm⁻¹): 1660 (C=O). (Found: C, 74.1; H 5.0; N 6.6. C₄₁H₃₃N₃O₂S₂ requires C, 74.21; H 4.97; N 6.33%).

Receptor **6**: (45%), m.p. 238 °C (CHCl₃—MeOH); M⁺ m/z466 (M⁺, 15); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.42 (s, 4H, 2 × SCH₂), 3.91 (s, 4H, 2 × CH₂), 6.87–7.04 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.16 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.33(t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.65 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.26 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.91 (bs, 2H, NH); ¹³C NMR (normal/DEPT-135) (CDCl₃): δ 37.55 (-ve, CH₂), 39.84 (-ve, CH₂), 120.97 (+ve, ArCH), 123.79 (ab, ArC), 125.09 (+ve, ArCH), 127.65 (+ve, ArCH), 128.03 (+ve, ArCH), 129.12 (+ve, ArCH), 130.15 (+ve, ArCH), 135.52 (+ve, ArCH), 138.89 (ab, ArC), 139.50 (ab, ArC), 165.66 (ab, C=O); IR ν_{max} (KBr) (cm⁻¹): 1655 (C=O), 3450 (NH). (*Found*: C 61.4; H 4.8; N 5.8; S, 20.3. C₂₄H₂₂N₂O₂S₃ requires C 61.80; H 4.72; N 6.01; S 20.6%).

Receptor 7: (60%), m.p. 188 °C (CHCl₃-*n*-hexane); M⁺ m/z 483 (M⁺, 30), 349 (M⁺-HCOC₃H₄CO, 5), 226 (H₂CC₆H₄CH₂SC₆H₄N, 100); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.95 (s, 4H, 2 × SCH₂), 6.92 (bs, 3H, ArH), 7.22 (dt, J₁ = 7.8 Hz, J₂ = 1.2 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.37 (dt, J₁ = 7.6 Hz, J₂ = 1.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.74 (dd, J₁ = 7.6 Hz, J₂ = 1.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.01 (dd, J₁ = 7.8 Hz, J₂ = 1.2 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.17 (t, J = 7.6

Table 1. Extraction (%) profile of receptors 3-9 and 11-13

M^{n+}	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	11	12	13
Li ⁺	0.62	0.24	0.08	0.04	0.04	0.24	_	0.01	0.51	0.02
Na ⁺	0.61	0.28	0.07	0.02	0.04	0.58	-	0.00	0.40	0.49
K^+	0.60	0.28	0.11	0.6	0.04	0.88	-	0.03	0.39	0.18
Tl ⁺	0.62	0.26	2.15	0.04	0.11	0.72	-	0.05	0.53	0.29
Mg^{2+}	0.35	0.18	0.05	0.12	0.02	0.14	-	0.01	0.42	0.20
Ca ²⁺	0.34	0.15	0.80	0.04	0.04	0.86	-	0.05	0.38	0.16
Sr ²⁺	0.36	0.18	0.87	0.02	0.05	0.38	-	0.01	0.40	0.00
Ba ²⁺	0.40	0.20	0.72	0.00	0.05	0.80	-	0.01	0.42	0.26
Pb^{2+}	0.09	0.27	53.5	1.24	0.46	1.92	0.11	0.28	0.34	0.87
Ag^+	97.67	36.5	56.1	78.0	6.90	2.88	16.0	59.4	47.8	1.8
Ag ⁺ /Pb ²⁺	1085	135	1.04	63	15	1.5	145	212	141	2

Hz, 1H, PyH), 8.51 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, PyH), 10.51 (bs, 2H, 2 × NH); ¹³C NMR (normal/DEPT-135) (CDCl₃): δ 41.72 (–ve, CH₂), 122.88 (+ve, ArCH), 125.58 (+ve, ArCH), 126.21 (+ve, ArCH), 128.07 (+ve, ArCH), 128.38 (ab, ArC), 129.72 (+ve, ArCH), 135.40 (+ve, ArCH), 137.74 (ab, ArC), 138.84 (ab, ArC), 139.59 (+ve, ArCH), 148.65 (ab, ArC), 161.33 (ab, C=O); IR ν_{max} (KBr) (cm⁻¹): 1655 (C=O), 3450 (NH). (*Found*: C 66.9; H 4.4; N 8.7, S 13.0. C₂₇H₂₁N₃O₂S₂ requires C 67.08; H, 4.35; N 8.69; S 13.25%).

Receptor 8: (75%), m.p. 185 °C; M⁺ m/z 482 (M⁺, 29); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 4.02 (s, 4H, 2 × SCH₂), 6.88–7.25 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.38–7.46 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.67–7.75 (m, 4H, ArH), 8.19 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.34 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.91 (bs, 2H, 2 × NH); ¹³C NMR (normal/DEPT-135) (CDCl₃): δ 41.65 (-ve, CH₂), 121.50 (+ve, ArCH), 121.72 (+ve, ArCH), 124.62 (ab, ArC), 125.18 (+ve, ArCH), 127.62 (+ve, ArCH), 128.36 (+ve, ArCH), 128.60 (+ve, ArCH) 129.61 (+ve, ArCH), 130.15 (+ve, ArCH), 132.49 (+ve, ArCH), 134.50 (+ve, ArCH), 135.57 (ab, ArC), 138.27 (ab, ArC), 139.01 (ab, ArC), 165.02 (ab, C=O); IR ν_{max} (KBr) (cm⁻¹); 1682 (C=O), 3450 (NH). (*Found*: C 69.8; H 4.3; N 5.7; S 13.7. C₂₈H₂₂N₂O₂S₂ requires C 69.71; H 4.56; N 5.81; S 13.28%).

Receptor 12: (45%); m.p. 169 °C (CHCl₃—MeOH); M⁺ *m*/*z* 466 (M⁺, 16); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.30 (s, 4H, 2 × CH₂), 3.89 (s, 4H, 2 × CH₂), 6.84 (s, 4H, ArH), 7.13 (dt, J₁ = 7.6 Hz, J₂ = 1.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.31 (dt, J₁ = 7.6 Hz, J₂ = 1.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.64 (dd, J₁ = 7.6 Hz, J₂ = 1.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.20 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.72 (bs, 2H, 2 × NH); ¹³C NMR (normal/DEPT-135) (CDCl₃): δ 37.00 (-ve, CH₂), 42.03 (-ve, CH₂), 120.95 (+ve, ArCH), 123.89 (ab, ArC), 125.05 (+ve, ArCH), 128.45 (+ve, ArCH), 129.94 (+ve, ArCH), 135.46 (+ve, ArCH), 138.08 (ab, ArC), 139.70 (ab, ArC), 166.10 (ab, C=O); IR ν_{max} (KBr) (cm⁻¹): 1698 (C=O), 3500 (NH). (*Found*: C 61.7; H 5.0; N 5.8, S 20.2. C₂₄H₂₂N₂O₂S₃ requires C 61.80, H 4.72; N 6.01; S 20.6%).

Receptor **13**: (80%), m.p. 193 °C (CHCl₃); M⁺ m/z 482 (M⁺, 7); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.88 (s, 4H, 2 × CH₂), 6.74 (s, 4H, ArH), 7.04 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.23 (dt, J₁ = 7.6 Hz, J₂ =

1.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.48 (dt, $J_1 = 7.6$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.5$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.62 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.76 (dd, $J_1 = 7.6$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.5$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.07 (dd, 2H, $J_1 = 7.9$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.6$ Hz, ArH), 8.52 (dd, $J_1 = 8.8$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.5$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 8.84 (s, 2H, NH); ¹³C NMR (normal/DEPT-135) (CDCl₃): δ 42.90 (-ve, CH₂), 120.26 (+ve, ArCH), 121.55 (+ve, ArCH), 124.00 (ab, ArC), 125.05 (+ve, ArCH), 127.85 (+ve, ArCH), 129.71 (ab, ArC), 130.13 (+ve, ArCH), 131.70 (+ve, ArCH), 135.83 (ab, ArC), 135.07 (+ve, ArCH), 138.94 (ab, ArC), 140.30 (ab, ArC), 165.02 (ab, C=O); IR ν_{max} (KBr) (cm⁻¹): 1682 (C=O), 3500 (NH). (*Found*: C 69.3; H 4.6; N 5.7; S 13.0. C₂₈H₂₂N₂O₂S₂ requires C 69.71; H 4.56; N 5.81; S 13.28%).

Synthesis of receptor **9**

A 250 mL two neck round bottom flask was fitted with a magnetic stirbar, a reflux condenser, and an addition funnel. The flask was charged with NaBH₄ (0.79 g, 20.8 mmol) and THF (50 mL, pre-dried over sodium). Receptor 8 (2.00 g, 4.14 mmol) was added in one portion and the flask was cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath. A solution of iodine (2.11 g, 8.3 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added dropwise over 30 min resulting in vigorous evolution of hydrogen. After addition was complete and gas evolution had ceased, the reaction mixture was refluxed for 30 h, cooled to room temperature and methanol was added cautiously until the mixture became clear. After stirring (30 min), the solvent was removed leaving a white paste, which was dissolved in 20% aqueous KOH (30 mL). The solution was stirred for 4 h and extracted with chloroform (3×30 mL). The solvent was evaporated and the crude product was chromatographed over a silica gel column using hexane and its mixtures with ethyl acetate as eluents to isolate 9 (20%); m.p 161 °C (CHCl₃); M⁺ *m/z* 454 (M⁺, 47); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.64 (s, 4H, 2 × SCH₂), 4.43 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 4H, 2 × NCH₂), 5.47 (t, 2H, J = 5.2 Hz, 2 × NH), 6.09 (s, 1H, ArH), 6.36 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.51 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.89–7.23 (m, 10H, ArH), 7.44 (s, 1H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (normal/DEPT-135) (CDCl₃): δ 36.11 (-ve, CH₂), 48.52 (-ve, CH2), 110.27 (+ve, ArCH), 116.57 (+ve, ArCH), 116.95 (ab, ArC), 125.32 (+ve, ArCH), 126.70 (+ve, ArCH), 127.06 (+ve, ArCH), 128.26 (+ve, ArCH),

280

Table 2. Transport profile* of receptors 3-6 and 11-12

M^{n+}	3	4	5	6	11	12
Li ⁺	2.93	1.80	10.6	20.39	80.34	5.03
Na ⁺	2.02	1.67	10.3	17.98	95.96	6.71
K^+	2.04	1.87	10.4	16.09	52.89	6.53
Tl ⁺	3.21	4.68	23.7	16.70	65.11	8.03
Mg^{2+}	1.45	1.45	10.3	11.33	119.15	3.55
Ca ²⁺	1.89	1.83	12.4	11.11	118.57	4.47
Sr ²⁺	1.37	2.10	8.2	7.32	86.10	2.93
Ba ²⁺	1.23	1.19	12.1	10.51	70.37	1.85
Pb^{2+}	6.31	8.86	361	122.50	99.53	158.59
Ag ⁺	245	85.95	524	209.48	206.99	96.22

 $* \times 10^{-8} \text{ mol } 24 \text{ h}^{-1}$.

128.78 (+ve, ArCH), 130.17 (+ve, ArCH), 130.40 (+ve, ArCH), 136.98 (+ve, ArCH), 137.10 (ab, ArC), 140.22 (ab, ArC), 149.32 (ab, ArC). (*Found*: C 74.3; H 5.78; N 6.0; S 13.7. $C_{28}H_{26}N_2S_2$ requires C 74.01; H 5.73; N 6.01; S 14.1%)

Extraction measurements [14]

For the extraction experiments, metal picrate solutions $(0.01 \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3})$ were prepared in deionised distilled water. The solutions of receptors $(0.01 \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3})$ were prepared in chloroform (A.R. grade). An aqueous solution (2 mL) of a metal picrate $(0.01 \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3})$ and a chloroform solution (2 mL) of a receptor $(0.01 \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3})$ in a cylindrical tube closed with a septum was shaken for 5 min and kept at 27 ± 1 °C for 3–4 h. An aliquot of the chloroform layer (1 mL) was withdrawn with a syringe and diluted with acetonitrile to 10 mL. The UV absorption was measured against CHCl₃—CH₃CN (1:9) solution at 374 nm. Extraction of the metal picrate has been calculated as the percentage of metal picrate extracted in the chloroform layer and the values are the mean of three independent measurements which were within $\pm 0.02\%$ error. (Table 1).

Transport measurements [15]

The transport experiments were carried out at constant temperature $(27 \pm 1 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$ in a cylindrical glass cell consisting of outer and inner jackets by using (i) metal picrate (0.01 moles dm⁻³) in water (3 mL) in the inner jacket; (ii) water (10 mL) in the outer jacket; (iii) ligand (10 mmol dm-3) in the chloroform layer (15 mL) with stirring (150 \pm 5 r.p.m.) at 27 \pm 0.05 °C. After stirring for 6 h the picrate transported into the receiving phase was determined from UV absorption at 355 nm. Each value is a mean of three experiments which were consistent \pm 10% (Table 2). Before determining the transport rates, blank experiments were performed in the absence of carrier receptor in the chloroform layer to check the leakage of metal picrates. A significant leakage (50 mol 24 h⁻¹) was observed only in the case of Pb²⁺.

Results and discussion

Synthesis

The phase transfer catalysed (K₂CO₃-DMF-TBA HSO₄) nucleophilic displacement of 1,3-bis(bromomethyl)benzene (1) with 2-aminothiophenol (2) gives compound 3 (82%), liquid, MS m/z 352 (M⁺), which on reaction with benzaldehyde followed by NaBH₄ reduction gives **4** (65%), liquid, MS m/z 532 (M⁺). The slow addition of thiodiglycolyl dichloride to a stirred solution of 3 in dichloromethane containing a suspension of K₂CO₃ (anhyd. base), TBA HSO₄ (catalyst) at 35 °C provides white solid 6 (45%), m.p. 238 °C, MS m/z 466 (M⁺). Similarly, diamines 3 and 4 with pyridine-2,6-dicarbonyl dichloride and 3 with isophthaloyl dichloride under PTC conditions give 7 (60%), m.p. 188 °C, MS m/z 483 (M⁺), 5 (20%), m.p. 161°C, MS m/z 454 (M⁺) and 8 (75%), m.p. 185 °C, MS m/z 482(M⁺), respectively. Receptor 8 on reduction with $NaBH_4/I_2$ in THF provides receptor 9 - a cyclic analog of 3/4.

The reaction of **10** with 2-aminothiophenol under PTC conditions provides **11** (90%), m.p. 104-106 °C, MS m/z 352 (M⁺) which on macrocyclization with thiodiglycolyl dichloride and isophthaloyl dichloride gives **12** (45%), m.p. 169 °C, MS m/z 466(M⁺) and **13** (80%), m.p. 193 °C, MS m/z 482 (M⁺), respectively.



The SCH₂CO and NH_{amide} proton singlets in the 1,4phenylene based receptor **12** are shifted upfield by $\Delta \delta$ 0.12 and 0.19 ppm in comparison with those in the 1,3-phenylene based receptor **6**. Similarly, the isophthaloyl 2'-H singlet in receptor **13** is shifted upfield by $\Delta \delta$ 0.64 ppm than in receptor **8**, indicating that this proton is directed towards the receptor cavity and the region is shielded by the magnetically anisotopic 1,4-phenylene ring. Therefore, in **12** and **13**, the 1,4-phenylene ring is oriented perpendicular to the plane of the macrocycle, whereas the 1,3-phenylene ring of **6-8** is in the plane of the macrocycle. Further, the downfield shift ($\Delta \delta > 1.50$ ppm) of the NH_{amide} singlet in receptor **7** in comparison with the other cyclic receptors **6**, **8**, **12** and **13**



Figure 2. Ag⁺/Pb⁺ extraction selectivities for receptors 3–9 and 11–13.

shows that in **7** the pyridine N is involved in H-bonding with NH_{amide} and may not be available for complexation with metal ions.

Extraction [14] and transport studies [15]

As the process of ligand facilitated transport of cations across apolar membrane has relevance to the development of separation techniques for the cations, the extraction (complexation) and transport (complexation - decomplexation) properties of receptors 3–9 and 11–13 towards Ag⁺, Pb²⁺, Tl⁺, alkali (Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺) and alkaline earth (Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Sr^{2+} and Ba^{2+}) cations have been determined (Tables 1 and 2). These receptors (3-9, 11-13) possess two alkyl aryl thioether units as common binding sites alongwith the combination of additional thioether, amine (NH2)/amide or pyridine unit(s) and show some selectivity towards Ag⁺ over other cations. The acyclic receptors 3, 4 and 11, due to their dynamic structural adaptability, show a higher extraction order as well as Ag⁺/Pb²⁺ selectivity. In the cyclic systems 6-8, 12 and 13, the soft ligating sites are appropriately placed for complexation with Ag⁺ but amide protons filling the cavity have to be displaced before complexation takes place. So, both the order of extraction and the selectivity towards Ag⁺ is lowered (Figure 2). Comparison of the extraction and transport results (Figure 3) of Ag⁺ shows that the increased extraction is by and large coupled with increased transport rates, which in the case of receptors 3 and 11 could be attributed to their more dynamic nature. The receptors 3, 4, 6, 11 and 12 which have a similar geometry show a nearly linear increase in transport rates with an increase in extraction but 5, which has a different geometry from the other receptors, shows a higher order of transport efficiency.



Figure 3. Plot of extraction (%) vs. transport rates ($\times 10^{-8}$ 24 h⁻¹) of Ag picrate by receptors **3–6** and **11–12**.



 Ag^{+}/Pb^{2+} Sel. = 78

Amongst the acyclic receptors, **3** possessing four ligating sites $(2 \times NH_2, 2 \times S)$ extracts 97.67% of the silver picrate from its aqueous solution whereas other cations are extracted by <0.6%. So, **3** extracts Ag⁺ nearly 257 and 1085 times greater than similar sized Sr²⁺ and Pb²⁺. Receptor **3** transports Ag⁺ nearly 179 and 39 times more efficiently than the similar sized Pb²⁺ and Sr²⁺. Therefore, the organisation of ligating sites on replacement of the CH₂OCH₂ unit of **14** [1] with the 1,3-phenylene spacer increases both the extraction and selectivity towards Ag⁺. The 1,4-phenylene based receptor **11** extracts Ag⁺ 59.4% and nearly 212 times more than Pb²⁺ in transport, but selectivity is not observed. **4** extracts Ag⁺ 37% nearly one third of the extraction by **3**. In extraction experiments, **4** shows Ag⁺/Pb²⁺ selectivity of the order of 135 which is lowered to only 10 in transport.

The cyclization of diamines **3** and **11** to receptors **6** and **12** decreases the number of participating ligating sites from four to three. The receptors **6** and **12** extract 78 and 48% Ag⁺ picrate and exhibit a Ag⁺/Pb²⁺ selectivity of the order of 63 and 140, significantly lower than their acyclic precursors **3** (1085) and **11** (212). The energy minimizations of macrocycles **6** and **12** show that for complexation of the three thioether units with Ag⁺ picrate, the amide NH units have to move out. So, in macrocycles **6** and **12** a considerable change in conformation occurs during complexation which decreases both the extraction and selectivity.

The poor extraction of Ag^+ (6.9%) and other metal ions (<2%) by **7** could be attributed to the non-availability of the pyridine N for complexation due to the presence of the PyN—HN_{amide} H-bond. Similar poor extraction of metal ions by macrocycles **8** and **13** points to the detrimental role of amide NH in complexation with metal ions.The replacement of the amide NH with N_{benzyl} in receptor **5** makes the



Figure 4. The energy minimized structures of receptors (a) **5**, (b) **5** shown without hydrogens (c) receptor **8** and (d) receptor **9**.

pyridine N available for complexation and restores its extraction capability for Ag^+ . However **5** extracts Pb^{2+} with nearly similar effficiency as for Ag^+ and Ag^+/Pb^{2+} selectivity is lost. The reduction of **8** to diamine derivative **9** also results in an increase in the extraction of Ag^+ and a decrease in the extraction of Pb^{2+} . The extraction of Ag^+ by **9** is less than for the acyclic diamines **3**/4.

In order to rationalise the loss of Ag⁺ selectivity in **5** and the poor extraction ability of 9, the energy minimized conformations of receptors 5, 8 and 9 have been computed by using PM3 calculations available in the CAChe 3.1 software (Figure 4). The conformation of **8** shows that the amide NH fills the cavity and due to the amide C-N partial double bond character and rigidity of the isophthaloyl group, the NH groups cannot be moved out of the cavity. Receptor 9 in its conformation shows that the amine NH groups also lie in the cavity and to make the nitrogen lone pair to participate in binding with Ag⁺, amine hydrogens have to be moved out. But due to the C-N single bond, this rotation is easier than in the case of 8 and so the complexation order in 9 is increased, but it is still lower than that found in the acyclic analogs 3 and 4. In the case of receptor 5, during synthesis, the bulk of the benzyl groups on the amide N pushes the benzyl groups out of the cavity. The energy minimised conformations 5A and 5B (H not shown) (Figure 4) show that the cavity is quite open and the lone pairs of two thioether, two amide and one PyN unit all point inwards to the cavity and so induce complexation. However, due to the lack of any restriction and availability of five ligating sites, the binding selectivity towards Ag⁺ over Pb²⁺ is lost.

Therefore, as receptors with two ligating sites are poor ionophores, three or four ligating sites are essential for optimal binding of Ag^+ . As visualized in design III, 2aminothiophenol and 1,3- and 1,4-phenylene units, due to a combination of steric and conformational control, induce Ag^+/Pb^{2+} selectivity in both acyclic and cyclic receptors. The presence of amide NH in the cavity also constitutes a major deterimental factor for Ag^+ complexation of cyclic receptors.

Complexation studies through ¹³C NMR spectroscopy

In ¹³C NMR titrations, the addition of Ag picrate to CDCl₃-DMF solutions of these receptors cause significant changes in chemical shifts but addition of other metal picrates does not cause any change. These results signify the higher binding orders of receptors with Ag⁺ in comparison with other metal picrates which is in consonance with the extraction and transport results. ¹³C NMR titration (Ag pic. vs. $\Delta\delta$) coordination shifts of various carbon signals of receptors 3, 6, 11 and 12 show that these receptors form 1:1 complexes with Ag picrate. On addition of Ag picrate in acyclic receptors 3 and 11, the SCH₂ and 2-aminothiophenol unit carbons undergo significant changes in chemical shifts but the mor p-phenylene carbons remain more or less unaffected (not included in Table 3). Therefore, the 2-aminophenylthio unit coordinates with Ag⁺. In cyclic receptors 6 and 12, the 2-aminophenylthio ring carbons and the SCH₂CO unit of the thiodiglycolyl unit are affected and the 1,3- and 1,4phenylene ring carbons again remain unaffected. Therefore, all three —S— units seem to coordinate with Ag⁺. In these receptors, the addition of Ag picrate shifts the SCH₂ and ArCH signals downfield but the Ar carbons attached to ---S- or NH2 are shifted upfield. The latter observation again points to the formation of ArC⁻-S⁺ and ArC⁻-N⁺ ylids through π -electron shift on complexation of S or NH₂ with Ag^+ .

In consonance with the observations of computer modelled structures of cyclic receptors **6** and **12** that the presence of amide NH units in the cavity is detrimental to the entry of Ag^+ due to their electropositive character and during complexation with Ag^+ , the NHCOCH₂SCH₂CONH unit has to undergo considerable conformational changes. Therefore, only thioether units participate in complexation with Ag^+ , which is quite evident from the marginal coordination shifts observed for the N—ArC and S—ArC carbon signals. The SCH₂ carbon signals show smaller changes in chemical shifts in cyclic receptors (**6**, **12**) than in acyclic receptors (**3**, **11**) which is in parallel with the extraction and transport results.

In conclusion the receptors **3**, **6**, **11** and **12** show binding selectivity towards Ag^+ . In the case of receptor **3** the organisation achieved through the combination of a 1,3-phenylene spacer and 2-aminophenyl thio units increase both the order of binding and the selectivity towards Ag^+ . In cyclic receptors though, the organisation of three thioether units takes place, the placement of NH_{amide} units restricts the entry of Ag^+ into the cavity and lowers both the order of binding and selectivity. The replacement of amide NH with N benzyl however causes drastic change in the geometry of the receptor which does not augur well for selective binding.

Table 3. Ag picrate (1 eqiv.) induced ¹³C NMR coordination shifts ($\Delta \delta_c$ ppm) of receptors **3**, **6**, **11** and **12**

Receptor	SCH ₂	SCH ₂	ArCH	ArCH	ArCH	S—Car	N—Car	С=О
3	1.131	_	2.806	2.461	0.763	-0.512	-1.826	-
11	1.391	-	1.756	1.235	0.341	-0.151	-1.078	-
6	0.506	-0.055	1.784	1.096	0.287	-1.219	-0.152	0.32
12	0.643	0.691	2.303	0.314	-0.51	-1.264	-0.46	0.515

Acknowledgement

We thank DST (SP/SI/G-28/97) for financial assistance.

References

- 1. Part 19. S. Kumar, V. Bhalla and H. Singh: *Tetrahedron* 54, 5575 (1998).
- (a) D. Parker: Chem. Soc. Rev. 19, 271 (1990). (b) D. Siswanta, K. Nagatsuka, H. Yamada, K. Kumakura, H. Hisamoto, Y. Shichi, K. Toshima and K. Suzuki: Anal. Chem. 68, 4166 (1996). (c) T. Nabeshima, N. Tsukada, K. Nishijima, H. Ohshiro and Y. Yano: J. Org. Chem. 61, 4342 (1996) and references therein. (d) M. Lerchi, F. Orsini, Z. Cimerman and E. Pretsch: Anal. Chem. 68, 3210 (1996).
- H. Tsukube, S. Shinoda, J. Uenishi, T. Hiraoka, T. Imakoga and O. Yonemitsu: J. Org. Chem. 63, 3884 (1998).
- S. Kumar, M.S. Hundal, G. Hundal, P. Singh, V. Bhalla, and H. Singh: J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2 925 (1998).
- S. Kumar, M.S. Hundal, N. Kaur, R. Singh, G. Hundal, M.M. Ripoll and J.S. Aparicio: J. Org. Chem. 61, 7819 (1996).

- 6. S. Kumar, I. Kaur and H. Singh: Indian J. Chem. 33A, 412 (1994).
- 7. S. Kumar, N. Kaur and H. Singh: *Tetrahedron* **52**, 13483 (1996).
- 8. S. Kumar, N. Kaur and H. Singh: Tetrahedron 53, 10841 (1997).
- 9. T. Nabeshima, K. Nishijima, N. Tsukada, H. Furusawa, T. Hosoya and Y. Yano: J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1092 (1992).
- J. Casabo, T. Flor, M.N. Stuart Hill, H.A. Jenkins, J.C. Lockhart, S.J. Loeb, I. Romero and F. Teixidor: *Inorg. Chem.* 34, 5410 (1995).
- 11. F. Teixidor, M.A. Flores, L. Escriche, C. Vinas and J. Casabo: J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 963 (1994).
- 12. J.W. Sibert, S.J. Lange, D.J. Williams, A.G.M. Barrett and B.M. Hoffman: *Inorg. Chem.* **34**, 2300 (1995).
- R. Dieing, V. Morisson, A.J. Moore, L.M. Goldenberg, M. R. Bryce, J. M. Raoul, M.C. Petty, J. Garin, M. Saviron, I.K. Lednev, R. E. Hester and J.N. Moore: *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans.* 2 1587 (1996).
- (a) S.S. Moore, T.L. Tarnowski, M. and Newcomb, D.J.: *Cram, J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **99**, 6398 (1977). (b) K.E. Koening, G.M. Lein, P. Stuckler, T. Kaneda and D.J. Cram: *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **101**, 3553 (1979).
- (a) K. Maruyama, H. Tsukube, and T. Araki: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102, 3246 (1980).
 (b) K. Maruyama, H. Tsukube and T. Araki: J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1486 (1981).